

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/581,356	02/08/2007	Sylvie Van Der Werf	03447.0017	2047
22852 FINNEGAN, I	7590 09/16/200 IENDERSON, FARAE	9 OW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAM	UNER
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			CALAMITA, HEATHER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,356	VAN DER WERF	ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
HEATHER G. CALAMITA	1637	

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

Status

		nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
	- If NO - Failu Any	SIX (6) MONTH-Is from the mailing date of this communication. pointed recipt is a specified above, the maximum statutory peried will apply and will copies SIX (6) MONTH-S from the mailing date of this communication period from the properties of
St	atus	
	1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 February 2007</u> .
	2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Di	sposit	ion of Claims
	4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
		Claim(s) is/are rejected.
		Claim(s) is/are objected to.
	8)🛛	Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
٩ŗ	plicat	ion Papers
	9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
		Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Pr	iority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
	12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
		1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
		application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/581,356

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Flection/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-4 and 29, drawn to an isolated strain of SARS associated human coronavirus.

Group II, claim(s) 5, drawn to primers.

Group III, claim(s) 6, drawn to a probe.

Group IV, claim(s) 7-10, drawn to a recombinant vector.

Group V, claim(s) 11-13, drawn to polycloonal and monoclonal antibodies.

Group VI, claim(s) 14-20, drawn to an immunocapture test.

Group VII, claim(s) 21-22 and 28, drawn to a reagent and a kit.

Group VIII, claim(s) 22, drawn to the use of a product.

Group IX, claim(s) 23-24, drawn to a detection method using amplification and probes.

Group X, claim(s) 25-26, drawn to a detection method using ELISA and antibodies.

Group XI, claim(s) 27, drawn to an immune complex.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The productof at least claim 5 is not joined to the methods and products of at least claims 22, 23, 25, 1, 6, 7, 11, 14 and 21. The product of at least claim 5 requires a sense primer and an antisense primer to the SARS associated virus. This is not a special technical feature which joins the claimed inventions because Marra et al. (Science, 2003, cited in IDS) teach the entire sequence of the SARS associated virus and necessarily primers (see the entire document). The primers of at least claim 5 is not limited in scope so as to require the methods and products of at least claims 22, 23, 25, 1, 6, 7, 11, 14 and 21, so therefore are not joined by a special technical feature.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,356

Art Unit: 1637

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order

Art Unit: 1637

to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Correspondence

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D., whose telephone number is 571.272.2876 and whose e-mail address is heather-calamita@uspto.gov. However, the office cannot guarantee security through the e-mail system nor should official papers be transmitted through this route. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at 571.272.0782.

Papers related to this application may be faxed to Group 1637 via the PTO Fax Center using the fax number 571.273.8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to 571.272.0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

/Heather G. Calamita/ Examiner, Art Unit 1637